



INTEGRATED ADVANCEMENTS IN FIBER REINFORCED CONCRETE: NANOTECHNOLOGY, SMART SYSTEMS, 3D PRINTING, AND SUSTAINABLE STRUCTURAL PERFORMANCE

AUTHORS:

D. N. Kakade¹, A. P. Lanjewar¹, S. K. Undirwade², *S. D. Shelare^{3,4}

AFFILIATIONS:

¹Department of Civil Engineering, P. E. S. College of Engineering, Chh. Sambhajanagar, Maharashtra, INDIA.

²Department of Mechanical Engineering, P. E. S. College of Engineering, Chh. Sambhajanagar, Maharashtra, INDIA.

³Department of Mechanical Engineering, Priyadarshini College of Engineering, Nagpur, Maharashtra, INDIA.

⁴Chitkara School of Planning and Architecture, Chitkara University, Punjab, INDIA.

*CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Email: sagmech24@gmail.com

ARTICLE HISTORY:

Received: September 30, 2025.

Revised: March 17, 2026.

Accepted: April 02, 2026.

Published: **Early view**

KEYWORDS:

Building Material, Civil Engineering, Construction, Fiber Reinforced Concrete, Sustainability in Structural performance

ARTICLE INCLUDES:

Peer review

DATA AVAILABILITY:

On request from author(s)

EDITORS:

Chidozie Charles Nnaji

FUNDING:

None

HOW TO CITE:

Kakade, D. N., Lanjewar, A. P., Undirwade S. K., Shelare S. D., "Integrated Advancements in Fiber Reinforced Concrete: Nanotechnology, Smart Systems, 3D Printing, and Sustainable Structural Performance", *Nigerian Journal of Technology*, 2026. 45(2), pp. 1 - 16. <https://doi.org/10.4314/njt.2026.5689>

Abstract

The use of Fiber Reinforced Concrete (FRC), which is considered as a high-performance building material, presents advantages over traditional concrete including its enhanced mechanical strength, durability, and sustainability. However, numerous innovations related to fibers, nanomaterials, smart properties, and Additive Manufacturing (AM) are still dispersed throughout the literature. This review aims to provide an integrated and up-to-date synthesis of material innovations, performance outcomes, durability mechanisms, and sustainable applications of FRC. A systematic literature review was conducted using Scopus, Web of Science, ScienceDirect, SpringerLink, and Google Scholar, focusing primarily on peer-reviewed studies published between 2015 and 2025. Based on their content, the articles have been categorized according to their focus on fiber type, mechanical performance, durability behaviors, environmental assessments, nanotechnologies and 3D printing. The analysis indicates that optimized fiber incorporation (0.1–2% by volume) generally improves tensile strength, flexural strength, and service-life durability, depending on fiber type, dosage, and exposure conditions. Hybrid and nano-modified systems demonstrate enhanced crack control, bond performance, and impact resistance. Sustainable assessments indicate possible decreases in steel consumption and in total resources during the entire life cycle of structures made of FRC. In addition to these achievements, several open issues exist in terms of long-term durability information, life-cycle assessment standards and durable design rules. This review consolidates current knowledge and identifies priorities for future research toward reliable, large-scale implementation of advanced FRC systems.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Advances in construction materials are characterized through the introduction of fiber reinforced concrete (FRC). The fibers in a fiber reinforced concrete (FRC) improve the performance, strength, and durability of the concrete [1]. The incorporation of fibers into the cementitious matrix allows for enhanced properties such as; crack control, tensile capacity, ductility, fatigue resistance, and impact resistance when compared with traditional unreinforced concrete. These steel, synthetic polymer, glass, or natural fibers resist breakage, increase tensile strength, and exceed steel reinforcement [2]. This enables the potential reduction in reliance on traditional steel reinforcing systems that can lead to a decrease in structural mass,

improved durability of structures, and reduced lifecycle costs. In addition to this FRC also contributes to sustainability in construction through an increase in service life of structures, reductions in maintenance requirements, and through the utilization of recycled and bio based fibers. FRC's fibers and mixing processes make it suitable for pavements, bridges, industrial floors, and structural components[3]. Therefore FRC is being increasingly viewed as a "future ready" material for building resilient and sustainable infrastructure.

Despite considerable research into the mechanical and durability advantages of FRC there is still a lack of an integrated review that considers the latest advances in fiber materials, manufacturing techniques and new technologies, as well as long term durability, and sustainability issues. In addition to the significant increase in functionality provided by new technologies such as nanotechnology, smart materials, and additive manufacturing, this represents a need for an integrated and updated synthesis of current technological developments. The primary objective of this review is to provide an integrated and up-to-date synthesis of advancements in Fiber Reinforced Concrete, covering material innovations, mechanical performance enhancements, durability mechanisms, sustainability considerations, and emerging technologies. Unlike previous reviews that focus on specific fiber types or isolated performance aspects, this study consolidates developments across multiple scales including nano-modification, hybrid

systems, smart functionality, and additive manufacturing to present a comprehensive framework for future-ready FRC systems.

A systematic literature review methodology was adopted to ensure comprehensive and unbiased coverage of recent advancements in FRC. Peer-reviewed journal articles and selected conference papers were collected from major scientific databases including Scopus, Web of Science, ScienceDirect, SpringerLink, and Google Scholar. The primary search keywords included fiber reinforced concrete, mechanical performance of FRC, durability of FRC, sustainability of FRC, nanotechnology and its application to FRC, smart concrete, and three-dimensional (3D) printing techniques in FRC. The majority of the literature referenced in this review is from the last ten years; however, it also references some of the more recent advances made in these areas. Articles were chosen due to their relation to either the material properties, technical development, or structural application of FRC. Articles were thoroughly reviewed and analyzed to determine common themes, performance enhancements, and areas of further study that will aid in the continued advancement of FRC.

The contributions of the current study are highlighted in Table 1 as an illustration of how the current review article integrated scope is different than prior FRC review studies.

Table 1: Comparison of the present review with major FRC reviews

Aspect/ Reference	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	Present Review
Primary scope	Mechanical properties	Polymers & glass fibers	Hybrid FRC	Waste fibers & sustainability	Integrated FRC systems
Fiber coverage	Limited	Limited	Hybrid only	Waste fibers	All major fiber classes
Durability & sustainability	Limited	Moderate	Limited	Strong	Explicit long-term & life-cycle focus
Nanotechnology & smart FRC	Not covered	Not covered	Not covered	Limited	Dedicated synthesis
3D printing & automation	Not covered	Not covered	Not covered	Limited	Explicit coverage
Overall contribution	Property-based	Material-specific	Fiber-combination-based	Sustainability-oriented	Future-ready, multi-scale framework

This review was designed to be systematic for the purpose of providing a clear and logical approach to the development of the review's discussion. The first part of the review will introduce the importance of FRC in today's construction practices as it relates to

the ability of FRC to improve structural performance and sustainability. Following that introduction, the second part will define the objectives of this review. In the third part, the method used in the review will be explained which includes an explanation of the



search strategy used to identify the literature, the criteria used to select studies, and the themes that were used to categorize the selected studies. After the method section, the review will move into a discussion on the mechanical performance improvements in FRC due to the addition of fibers and then discuss the durability and sustainability improvements. Following the discussion of mechanical and durability/sustainability improvements, the review will continue with a discussion of the emerging technologies such as nanotechnology, smart self-healing/self-sensing systems, and 3D printing application of FRC. Lastly, the review will discuss the research gaps, the long term uncertainties, and how the current review contributes to the knowledge.

2.0 FUNDAMENTALS OF FIBER REINFORCED CONCRETE

Fibers in the concrete matrix are added to produce Fiber Reinforced Concrete. Steel, glass, synthetic polymers, carbon, or natural fibers are incorporated into concrete to improve tensile strength, durability, and crack resistance. FRC is typically composed of cement, water, sand, gravel, and fibers. The fiber content in concrete mixes typically ranges from 0.1% to 3%, depending on the desired performance characteristics [8]. Table 2 lists FRC fiber types, their composition, characteristics, benefits, and uses.

Table 2: Various types of fibers used in fiber reinforced concrete

Fiber Type	Material Composition	Typical Fiber Length (mm)	Typical Fiber Diameter (mm)	Fiber Volume Fraction (%)	Properties	Common Applications
Steel Fibers [9]	Steel (wire or rod form)	20 - 50	0.25 - 1.0	0.5 - 2.0%	High tensile strength, superior crack control, impact resistance.	Pavements, industrial floors, bridge decks, tunnels.
Synthetic Fibers [4]	Polypropylene, nylon, polyester	10 - 60	0.1 - 0.6	0.1 - 1.0%	Lightweight, corrosion-resistant, reduces plastic shrinkage cracking.	Parking lots, residential pavements, precast concrete.
Glass Fibers [5]	Alkali-resistant glass	10 - 50	0.15 - 0.5	0.1 - 1.0%	Excellent corrosion resistance, high tensile strength.	Marine structures, water tanks, sewage treatment plants.
Carbon Fibers [10]	Carbon-based materials (e.g., carbon filaments)	10 - 50	0.05 - 0.2	0.1 - 0.5%	Exceptional strength-to-weight ratio, high durability, corrosion resistance.	High-performance applications, aerospace, structural reinforcements.
Natural Fibers [11]	Jute, sisal, bamboo, coconut husk	20 - 60	0.1 - 1.0	0.1 - 0.5%	Eco-friendly, biodegradable, low tensile strength, sustainable.	Eco-friendly concrete, rural housing, sustainable buildings.

Different fiber types have various beneficial features for Fiber Reinforced Concrete as seen in Table 2. Steel & Carbon fibers are known for their high tensile strength & ability to limit cracks in FRC while glass & synthetic fibers have a corrosion resistant feature & natural fibers also have sustainable applications. Which type of fiber is

chosen will depend upon which mechanical properties are needed, how durable the product needs to be, environmental exposures the product will experience and whether the owner wants the product to be a sustainable material. FRC can be used in industrial floors and pavements because the fibers improve abrasion resistance. Figure 1 (i)-(ix) shows



the appearance of various fibers used in prior investigations.

While carbon fiber FRC offers good heat resistance, its thermal conductivity may not be advantageous for all applications. FRC can exhibit a higher coefficient of thermal expansion than regular concrete, though this is rarely a significant concern in most

construction projects. For optimal performance, the concrete matrix must have homogeneous fiber distribution [12]. Its long lifespan and low maintenance reduce repairs, replacements, and environmental impact. FRC increases concrete performance, decreasing the need for energy-intensive steel rebar[13].




Figure 1: Appearance of some fibers used in concrete. (i) steel fibers (ii). polypropylene fibers (iii) glass fibers (iv). carbon fibers. (v). wheat straw. (vi). plastic fibers. (vii). sugarcane fibers. (viii). jute fiber. (ix). sisal fibers [14]

3.0 MECHANICAL PERFORMANCE IMPROVEMENTS

Mechanical enhancement properties will be discussed in detail throughout this section. The focus will be on enhancing mechanical characteristics of polymers including; Tensile Strength, Flexural Properties, Crack Resistance, Fatigue Resistance, Impact Resistance. A quantitative review of recent literature will be provided to demonstrate the effects of different fiber types, fiber volume fractions, and hybrid reinforcement methods on the structural behavior of polymers.

3.1 Material

Recent innovations in Fiber Reinforced Concrete have introduced a variety of new fibers, each enhancing concrete properties in unique ways[15].

Carbon fibers contribute to residual strength after cracking, and are lightweight and corrosion-resistant [16]. Basalt fibers, derived from natural rock, are suitable for harsh conditions and high temperatures due to their corrosion resistance and thermal stability [17]. Hybrid fibers combine one or more of these different fiber types to achieve a balance of improved strength, durability and lower costs [18]. These new materials also make the fiber-reinforced concrete stronger, more resistant to degradation and longer-lasting in the most sustainable way possible, for many different types of building applications. Table 3 provides a comparison of fiber volume fraction, typical fiber diameter, length and the effect that these have on properties of concrete for each fiber type.



Table 3: New types of fibers and their influence on the properties of fiber reinforced concrete

Fiber Type	Fiber Volume Fraction/ Length (mm)/ Diameter (mm)	Compressive Strength Influence	Tensile Strength Influence	Flexural Strength Influence	Durability Influence	Impact Resistance Influence
Carbon Fibers [19]	0.1% - 0.5% / 10 - 50 / 0.05 - 0.2	Minimal decrease or neutral effect	Improved (~20-30% increase)	Improved (~25-40% increase)	High corrosion resistance (~50-70% more durable)	Significant Improved (~40-50% more resistant)
Basalt Fibers [20]	0.1% - 1.0% / 20 - 50 / 0.1 - 0.4	Neutral effect or slight increase	Improved (~15-20%)	Improved (~20-25%)	High resistance to chemicals (~40-50% more resistant)	Moderate Improved (~20-30%)
Recycled Fibers [21]	0.5% - 1.5% / 10 - 60 / 0.1 - 0.5	Neutral effect or slight increase	Improved (~10-15%)	Improved (~15-20%)	Moderate to high, depending on fiber type (~20-30% more resistant)	Moderate Improved (~20-30%)
Synthetic Fibers [22]	0.1% - 1.0% / 10 - 60 / 0.1 - 0.6	Neutral effect or slight increase	Improved (~10-15%)	Improved (~10-20%)	Moderate improvement (~10-20% more durable)	High Improved (~30-40%)
Natural Fibers [23]	0.1% - 0.5% / 20 - 60 / 0.1 - 1.0	Minimal effect on compressive strength	Improved (~10-15%)	Improved (~15-25%)	Moderate, with biodegradability (~20-30% more eco-friendly)	Low to moderate (~10-20%)
Glass Fibers [24]	0.1% - 1.0% / 10 - 50 / 0.15 - 0.5	Minimal decrease or neutral effect	Improved (~15-20%)	Improved (~20-30%)	High corrosion resistance (~40-50% more resistant)	Moderate Improved (~20-30%)
Hybrid Fibers [6]	0.5% - 2.0% / 10 - 60 / 0.1 - 1.0	Significant increase (~10-15%)	Improved (~30-40%)	Improved (~35-50%)	High, depending on the combination (~30-50% more durable)	Significant Improved (~30-40%)

From Table 3, it is clear that Fiber Reinforced Concrete exhibits optimal performance at a fiber volume percentage ranging from 0.1% to 2% [24]-[27]. The addition of more fiber increases tensile strength, reduces damage from impacts, improves durability; although, may increase difficulty in working with it. Carbon and Basalt Fibers enhance Compressive Strength by increasing the interaction between fibers and the concrete matrix [25]. While most fibers contribute to increased flexural strength, carbon, basalt, and hybrid fibers show notable improvements, with reported increases of approximately 25–40% for carbon fibers [22], 20–25% for basalt fibers [23], and 35–50% for hybrid fibers [6], depending on fiber content and mix design. Glass, carbon, and basalt fibers also provide a

30-50% improvement in corrosion and chemical resistance [22]-[23]. Additionally, synthetic and basalt fibers enhance resistance to dynamic loading and impact by 40-50%.

3.2 Processing and Manufacturing Techniques

Modern fiber dispersion and mixing techniques significantly enhance the performance of Fiber Reinforced Concrete. High-shear and vortex mixers can achieve over 95% uniform fiber distribution within the concrete matrix. The mechanical properties and crack resistance of the concrete improve with this uniformity. To achieve uniform dispersion, the mixing duration and speed must be carefully controlled, especially within the typical fiber volume range of 0.1% to 2%. Advanced



techniques, such as fiber pre-wetting, reduce clumping and improve distribution and workability. Advances in mixing techniques and fiber-matrix bonding directly enhance the mechanical properties and durability of FRC structures.

3.3 Performance Outcomes

Fiber Reinforced Concrete incorporating carbon, basalt, or steel fibers exhibits greater strength, crack resistance, and durability. These fibers typically increase tensile strength by ~10–30% and flexural strength by ~10–50%, with the highest gains reported for hybrid fibers (Table 2). Fibers significantly inhibit both the initiation and propagation of cracks. Additionally, synthetic and basalt fibers enhance resistance to dynamic loading and impact by 40–50% [21].

Glass, carbon, and basalt fibers enhance the corrosion, chemical, and freeze-thaw resistance of FRC. In coastal or industrial environments with moisture or chemical exposure, FRC can extend service life by 30-50% compared to conventional concrete [23]. Fibers improve the resistance of FRC to high temperatures, seismic forces, and impact. Carbon and basalt fibers enhance the thermal resistance of concrete. Different fiber combinations of Mixed Steel Fiber-Reinforced Concrete (MSFRC) affect energy in Figures 2 (a) and (b). Figures 2 (a) and (b) illustrate initial and ultimate impact energy. They show that combining 25 mm and 50 mm steel fibers considerably improves impact resistance. The impact energy of a 50% short and 50% long fiber combination is about 300% higher than that of plain concrete.




Figure 2: Impact energy with mixed steel fibers (a) initial; (b) ultimate [26]

4.0 DURABILITY AND LONG-TERM PERFORMANCE

Beyond mechanical enhancements; reliability and sustainability for the long term, as well as long term durability, are decided by this. Creep, shrinkage, fire performance, fatigue resistance, freeze-thaw durability, chemical degradation, and aging of the fiber-matrix interface will be studied in detail in this part. Additionally, particular attention will be given to long-term uncertainty and performance due to combined exposures from the environment.

4.1 Creep, Shrinkage, and Fatigue Behavior

Fibers can limit crack growth at the interfacial transition zone (ITZ) and redistribute stress within the material thereby limiting long term deformation through crack development. Synthetic fibers may be used to control both plastic and drying shrinkage as

they have shown to inhibit early age microcracking. While creep behavior will continue to be influenced by the fiber type, fiber volume and bond durability when subject to prolonged loading conditions.

Fiber reinforced concrete (FRC) has a greatly improved fatigue performance than non-fiber reinforced concrete. The ability for the fibers to bridge cracks that develop during fatigue loading creates time for additional cracks to form and causes less stiffness loss due to cracking. A hybrid fiber system combines the high energy absorbing capabilities of the shorter fibers along with the ability to stop crack propagation of longer fibers and has exhibited higher fatigue endurances than either single type of fiber system alone. Unfortunately, many studies on fatigue performance have only been performed at the laboratory scale and therefore, there



is still significant uncertainty regarding their potential performance over real service life.

4.2 Fire Resistance and High-Temperature Performance

High temperature introduces multiple degradation mechanisms to fiber reinforced composites (FRC), such as: a.) Fiber melting; b.) Matrix micro-cracking; c.) Loss of bond strength. Synthetic fibers, (such as polypropylene) will enhance the fire-resistance of FRC by melting at relatively lower temperature and providing a means for pressure relief which reduces explosive spall. On the other hand, steel and carbon fibers have a higher load bearing capability at moderate elevated temperatures and can also increase thermal conductivity and therefore cause differential thermal stresses. There has been no adequate long term residual strength characterization of hybrid or nano modified FRC systems post-fire.

4.3 Freeze–Thaw Resistance and Chemical Degradation

In addition to limiting crack widths, the presence of fibers in FRC will help to improve stress redistribution; this reduces the likelihood of cracks connecting and subsequently limits the amount of water entering the system as well as the potential for frost damage from within the structure. The ability of glass, basalt and synthetic fibers to provide better protection against cold climate conditions compared to steel fibers should be noted, with the latter being susceptible to expansion (due to corrosion) if moisture and/or chlorides are able to penetrate into the matrix. Mechanisms of chemical degradation such as sulfate attack, carbonation and chloride ion ingress will all be significantly affected by the interaction between the fibers and the matrix. While fibers limit permeability through control over cracking processes, steel fibers are still at risk for corrosion in high-aggressive environments, unless the steel fibers are protected by a coating or a very dense matrix. A long-term concern exists regarding the chemical stability of natural fibers as both biodegrade under certain environmental conditions and have an adverse response to alkaline environments.

4.4 Aging and Fiber–Matrix Interface Degradation

Over an extended time, it will be the degradation of the fiber-matrix interface that will determine the overall long-term durability of the composite system. The repeated cycling of temperature, the fluctuation of moisture levels, and the exposure to chemicals can result in a weakening of the interfacial bond which

can result in a pulling out of fibers from the matrix and a loss of the ability of the composite to carry load after cracking. Although nano-modification and surface treatment have shown potential for increasing the interfacial durability; however, the long-term performance of these modification techniques are still unproven with respect to the real-world environments they are likely to experience.

5.0 EMERGING TRENDS IN FIBER REINFORCED CONCRETE

5.1 Nanotechnology

Nanotechnology enhances FRC's mechanical performance, durability, and sustainability. Nanomaterials, especially nanoparticles, reinforce and maintain concrete. Nanosilica, nano-titanium dioxide, CNTs, and nano-clay increase FRC fiber-matrix bond and stress transfer by 15-30%, boosting tensile strength and fracture toughness[27]. Nanoparticles increase concrete microstructure, boosting compressive and tensile strength 20-40% [28].

Chemical resistance, water absorption, and freeze-thaw performance enhance 25-40% using nanoparticles[29]. Self-healing nanosilica and CNTs repair micro-cracks, prolonging FRC life. Nanotechnology and fibers properly disperse fibers in concrete, reducing clumping and enhancing crack management. Nanomaterial-based surface treatments increase fiber-matrix bond strength by 25-50%, enhancing tensile and flexural strength[30]. A detailed illustration of the synergy between nanoparticles and fibers in FRC can be found in Table 4.

Table 4 demonstrates that the combination of nanotechnology and fiber technology results in an improvement in the functionality of fiber reinforced composites (FRC), and therefore, their overall performance. This is due to enhanced fiber matrix bonding, increased toughness and crack resistance, improved functional characteristics, and longer service life compared to traditional fiber-reinforced composite materials [38].

Nashat Alghairi et al.[40] found nanomaterials alter concrete strength, durability, and environmental resistance. Nanomaterials increase compressive strength by 12–50% over reference. Nanomaterials and polypropylene fibers increase flexural, tensile, and compressive strength as shown in Figure 3. Nanomaterials are superior for future construction projects due to their unique properties.



Table 4: Synergies between nanotechnology and fibers in FRC

Nanoparticle/Fiber Type	Property Enhanced	Impact on FRC	Influence
Nano-silica [31]	Bonding between fiber and matrix	Enhances stress transfer, reduces voids, and densifies the concrete matrix	20-40% increase in compressive strength, 15-30% improvement in tensile strength
	Durability	Increases resistance to chemical attacks and freeze-thaw cycles	25-40% improvement in durability and chemical resistance
	Self-healing properties	Improves self-healing capabilities in cracks	Reduction in crack propagation by 30-50% over time
Nano-titanium dioxide [32]	Self-cleaning properties	Improves resistance to dirt and pollutants	15-25% reduction in surface dirt accumulation, improving aesthetic longevity
Carbon nanotubes (CNTs) [33]	Toughness, crack resistance	Enhances energy absorption and crack bridging ability	25-50% improvement in toughness and impact resistance
Nano-clay [34]	Hydration and pore structure	Fills micro-pores, improving concrete's density	10-20% increase in overall density and 20-30% reduction in water absorption
Steel fibers [35]	Nano-silica surface coating	Increases bond strength between fibers and matrix	30-40% increase in bond strength, improving post-cracking resistance
Synthetic fibers [36]	Nano-coatings (e.g., nano-silica)	Improves fiber-matrix interface, prevents fiber pullout	20-30% improvement in tensile and flexural strength
Glass fibers [37]	Nano-silica and CNT surface treatments	Enhances resistance to corrosion and increases bonding strength	25-50% improvement in corrosion resistance, 20-30% increase in flexural strength
Hybrid fibers [38]	Nano-silica + CNT coating	Combines the benefits of both fiber types for enhanced crack resistance	30-50% improvement in crack resistance and 20-40% increase in durability
Natural fibers [39]	Nano-clay modification	Improves bonding with matrix and water resistance	10-20% improvement in workability, 15-25% reduction in water absorption

Nano-silica and carbon nanotubes significantly enhance the properties of Fiber Reinforced Concrete (Table 4). The incorporation of carbon nanotubes with nano-silica improves compressive and tensile strength by 20-40% and 30%, respectively[41]. These nanoparticles also enhance chemical and environmental resistance by 25-50%, thereby increasing the robustness of FRC.

Self-healing nano-silica lowers crack propagation by 30-50% and increase material life[42]. Crack resistance and impact strength enhance concrete strength and durability by 25-50% with nanoparticles and fibers. Nano-clay and fibers make mix workability 20% higher and water absorption 20-30% lower, making it easier to build.






Figure 3a: Improvement in compressive strength [40]




Figure 3b: Improvement in flexural strength enhancement.[40]




Figure 3c: Improvement in splitting tensile strength with different nanocomposite types.[40]

5.2 Smart Fiber Reinforced Concrete

Smart Fiber Reinforced Concrete integrates sensors for real-time monitoring of concrete health, enabling self-healing capabilities and self-sensing functionality[43]. This innovation boosts concrete construction durability, performance, and environmental adaptability.

5.2.1 Self-healing and self-sensing FRC

Cracks in Self-Healing Fiber Reinforced Concrete trigger embedded microcapsules or bacteria, which start the self-sealing process to mend the cracks and restore structural integrity. This self-healing process extends concrete service life by 30-50% [44]. and reduces maintenance, especially in severe environments. Fibers or nanoparticles in Self-Sensing FRC can detect concrete cracks, deformation, and temperature changes[44]. Real time health monitoring of concrete using auto sensing

capabilities allows for early detection of structural anomalies to avoid catastrophic failures and expedite maintenance. Using the same auto sensing capabilities; maintenance can be completed with increased accuracy which results in a 20-30% increase in maintenance efficiency.

5.2.2 Integration with sensor technologies for monitoring concrete health

Stress, strain, temperature, and fracture damage are continuously monitored using FRC sensors that measure these properties of concrete. The structural health monitoring (SHM) of structures includes sensors such as strain gauges, fiber optic sensors, and wireless sensor networks for monitoring the condition of concrete structures [45]. Smart technologies including sensors can also evaluate and optimize fiber types, nanomaterials, and curing processes associated with the production of FRC, which can increase construction efficiency while reducing costs by 20-30% [46].

5.3 3D Printing with Fiber Reinforced Concrete Fiber Reinforced Concrete has changed the way the construction industry operates by incorporating 3D Printing into its processes. The new ways to create, will allow for the precision and efficiency in fabricating complex, customized and long-lasting buildings.

5.3.1 Advancements in 3D printing techniques involving frc

The use of nanotechnology and fiber reinforcement in FRC enables faster curing, allowing for the creation of much more complex designs that include internal systems such as plumbing, electrical, HVAC etc. which would be difficult or impossible to achieve with traditional materials and older methods. The ability to produce structures quickly (reduced building time), with a high degree of accuracy and precision, allows for greater flexibility in the design of buildings and architecture; and provides the opportunity for builders to take advantage of new technologies [47].

5.3.2 Benefits for complex structures and construction automation

Complex geometric constructions including curved walls, honeycomb patterns, and mathematically optimal shapes can be printed using FRC 3D printing. These novel designs increase structure strength-to-weight ratio, reducing material use and enhancing resilience. 3D printing also speeds up construction, reduces labor costs, time, and errors, and optimizes material use. These buildings can be built in one-third the time using standard procedures.



Adding medium fibers to the printing mix increases tensile strength and crack resistance, making printed structures safer and longer-lasting. Construction is faster, material waste is lower, design flexibility is increased, and durability is better using 3D-printed FRC [48]. Figure 4. (a) shows the characteristics of the tested materials in relation to their compressive strength and density. Figure 4(a) demonstrates that high compressive strength is not merely a function of a high bulk density. Compressive strength can be negatively impacted by both high bulk densities as well as the internal pore structure and chemical make-up of the mixture. In general, the B1 based mixes were stronger than the B2 based mixes; also the addition of aluminum powder gave higher strength values for the mixes than did the addition of hydrogen peroxide. It illustrates that developing good lightweight concrete is dependent upon achieving an

optimal balance between bulk density, compressive strength and internal pore size. Figure 4. (b) shows the characteristics of the tested materials in relation to their bending strength and density. Figure 4. (b) illustrates that there is a non-linear correlation in relation to bending strength and density. Although the B1 based mixture series showed greater density and higher bending strengths than the B2 based mixture series; this did not mean that as one increased, the other would increase at the same rate. The highest value of bending strength shown in figure 4. (b) is for B1/AL which has approximately 5.36 MPa flexural strength. Many of the foams and fiber reinforced materials produced have much lower bending strength due to their lower densities. The presence of voids in the foam and porous nature of the fibers reduce the structural integrity of the solid matrix by interrupting it, particularly when the porosity is irregular.




Figure 4: Characteristics of the tested materials in the context of (a) compressive strength and density. The bars represent compressive strength values (MPa), while the line corresponds to density (kg/m³). (b) bending (flexural) strength and density. The bars represent flexural strength values (MPa), while the line corresponds to density (kg/m³).[49]

5.4 Sustainable Construction Practices

5.4.1 Use of industrial waste fibers

Fiber-reinforced Concrete incorporating industrial waste fibers, notably plastic, provides sustainable building resilience [50]. Recycled PET bottle and other plastic fibers save waste and improve concrete mechanical properties. FRC with up to 5% recycled plastic fibers saves plastic waste and enhances impact resistance, tensile strength, and crack control[51]. These innovative strengthening methods reduce waste and virgin material utilization. Table 5

addresses FRC sustainability and eco-friendly fibers' environmental benefits.

Table 5 clearly shows how Fiber Reinforced Concrete (FRC), can improve sustainability of structures due to it's ability to lower a structure's Carbon Footprint, Embodied Energy, and Resource Consumption. In addition to using Recycled & Bio-based Fibers, this method also reduces waste and promotes renewable resources, thus minimizing negative environmental impacts. Furthermore, the increased Durability and Longer Service Life of

Structures made from FRC results in fewer Maintenance and Repair requirements over time.

Table 5: Key sustainability aspects of FRC

Sustainability Aspect	Fiber Type	Environmental Impact	Quantitative Impact
Eco-friendly fibers [52]	Recycled Fibers	Use of recycled materials (plastics, textiles, rubber) Reduces waste and landfill material	30-50% reduction in carbon footprint compared to traditional concrete with steel fibers. Diverts hundreds of tons of waste materials from landfills per large-scale project.
	Bio-based Fibers	Renewable, biodegradable fibers (jute, sisal, bamboo) Reduced reliance on fossil fuel-based materials	25-30% reduction in embodied energy compared to synthetic fibers. 40% carbon savings when replacing synthetic fibers with bio-based fibers.
Reduction in environmental impact [53]	FRC Durability	Enhanced durability due to better crack resistance and toughness	50% reduction in resource consumption over the lifecycle of structures.
	Extended Service Life	Reduced need for frequent repairs and replacements	50% fewer resources used for repairs, reducing the overall environmental impact.
	Energy Efficiency	Lower production energy compared to conventional reinforcement	20-30% reduction in embodied energy when replacing steel reinforcement with fibers.
	Carbon Footprint	Reduced use of energy-intensive materials like steel	20-30% decrease in carbon footprint due to the reduction of steel use in construction.

5.4.2 Low-carbon footprint FRC alternatives:

Sustainable FRC alternatives lessen concrete's environmental effect with low-carbon materials [54]. Hemp, jute, and bamboo emit less carbon than synthetics [55]. Concrete mix embodied carbon can be reduced by 30-40% by replacing cement with geopolymer cement, fly ash, or slag [56]. Sustainable concrete building uses low-carbon methods to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, energy use, and waste. These sturdy materials encourage green building [57].

6.0 RESEARCH GAPS, LIMITATIONS AND CONTRIBUTION

6.1 Research Gaps

Although Fiber-Reinforced Concrete has demonstrated greater longevity than conventional concrete, there are many key issues still that need to be resolved.

- There is a lack of long term (>20-30-year equivalent) experimental and field performance data
- There are limited mechanistic models that link fiber degradation, loss of bonding to the matrix, and structural performance
- The current level of knowledge of coupled effects (i.e., fire, then freeze-thaw, or chemical exposure) is very limited
- There are no standard durability-based design guidelines or life-cycle performance models available for Fiber Reinforced Concrete.

It is necessary to address all of these issues before we can make reliable predictions about the service life of Fiber-Reinforced Concrete and thus, have wider acceptance of Fiber-Reinforced Concrete as a viable structural material.

6.2 Limitations

While Fiber Reinforced Concrete (FRC), exhibits great opportunities in terms of environment compared to conventional reinforced concrete, the



sustainable indicators presented in previous section have several limitations.

- The reported sustainable indicators, such as reductions in carbon footprint and resource consumption, are primarily based on literature-derived estimates rather than original life cycle assessments (LCA) for individual projects.
- These indicators primarily use a cradle-to-gate system boundary, covering processes from raw material extraction to concrete mixing, excluding construction, use, maintenance, and end-of-life impacts due to data inconsistency.
- Long-term benefits of enhanced durability and reduced maintenance may not be fully captured in quantitative results.
- Sustainability outcomes are heavily influenced by regional factors like energy mix, manufacturing processes, and transportation distances, contributing to increased uncertainties due to variations in functional units and service-life assumptions.
- Many emerging fibers and hybrid FRC systems lack standardized environmental databases, complicating comparisons.
- Future efforts should aim to develop harmonized cradle-to-grave LCAs incorporating region-specific data and service-life performance.

6.3 Contribution of Present Work

This evaluation is different than others that have evaluated FRC in that it has integrated performance of the mechanical properties of FRC, the mechanisms which affect the durability of FRC, an assessment of the environmental sustainability of FRC, the incorporation of nanotechnology advancements into FRC, the ability of FRC to be intelligent or "smart," and the use of Additive Manufacturing (AM) to create FRC in one comprehensive approach. The study quantitatively defines the range of possible performance of the fibers that comprise FRC, compares various types of fibers used in FRC, and systematically identifies research gaps that exist regarding the long-term durability of FRC, life cycle modeling of FRC, and the need for standards governing FRC. Ultimately, this study will provide the necessary framework for implementing reliably and on a large scale the next generation of high-performance FRC systems.

7.0 CONCLUSION

The article outlines new developments that improve significantly the mechanical properties, durability and sustainability of fiber reinforced concrete (FRC) when compared to traditional concrete. In terms of

mechanical properties, significant advances are identified in tensile strength, bending strength, cracking and longevity through the use of fiber reinforcement made from carbon, basalt, recycled, hybrid, and bio-based fibers. Newer technologies including the use of nanotechnology in conjunction with FRC; smart self-healing and self-sensing systems and fiber based 3D printing have developed the functionality of FRC in terms of efficiency during construction, reduction of material usage and increased structural resilience. Together, the new developments identify FRC as a versatile, high-performance material applicable to a wide range of demanding structural and infrastructure applications. Although significant advancements have been made in this area, there remains considerable opportunity for research in order to overcome existing limitations in the form of cost for advanced fibers, achieving consistent fiber distribution within the mixture of ingredients and the absence of standard procedures for the design and testing of FRC mixes. It is imperative to conduct extensive research on the interactions between fibers, nanomaterials and the cementitious matrix in order to provide accurate durability projections and structural performance characteristics.

Therefore, future research in this field should include long term durability assessments; life cycle performance models and the development of cost effective and large-scale manufacturing processes. Significant additional research is also required to develop fiber-matrix interfaces; hybrid fiber systems; nano modified concretes and smart sensing and self-healing mechanisms that can be integrated into common construction practices in order to increase the reliability of FRC while maintaining workability. It is anticipated that continued research and technological advancement of FRC will enable a transformative impact on the construction industry in the form of providing sustainable; durable; and high-performance structures that meet both global environmental objectives and resilience objectives.

REFERENCES

- [1] Abushanab, A., Alnahhal, W., Sohail, M.G., Alnuaimi, N., Kahraman, R. and Altayeh, N. "Mechanical and durability properties of ultra-high performance steel FRC made with discarded materials," *Journal of Building Engineering*, 44, p. 103264, 2021. doi: [10.1016/j.jobbe.2021.103264](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobbe.2021.103264).
- [2] Lee, H., Choi, M.K. and Kim, B.-J. "Structural and functional properties of fiber reinforced concrete composites for construction applications," *Journal of Industrial and*



- Engineering Chemistry*, 125, pp. 38–49, 2023. doi: [10.1016/j.jiec.2023.05.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiec.2023.05.019).
- [3] Ahmad, J., González-Lezcano, R.A., Majdi, A., Ben Kahla, N., Deifalla, A.F. and El-Shorbagy, M.A. “Glass Fibers Reinforced Concrete: Overview on Mechanical, Durability and Microstructure Analysis,” *Materials*, 15(15), p. 5111, 2022. doi: [10.3390/ma15155111](https://doi.org/10.3390/ma15155111).
- [4] Ahmad, J. and Zhou, Z. “Mechanical Properties of Natural as well as Synthetic Fiber Reinforced Concrete: A Review,” *Construction and Building Materials*, 333, p. 127353, 2022. doi: [10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2022.127353](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2022.127353).
- [5] Shafei, B., Kazemian, M., Dopko, M. and Najimi, M. “State-of-the-Art Review of Capabilities and Limitations of Polymer and Glass Fibers Used for Fiber-Reinforced Concrete,” *Materials*, 14(2), p. 409, 2021. doi: [10.3390/ma14020409](https://doi.org/10.3390/ma14020409).
- [6] Vairagade, V.S. and Dhale, S.A. “Hybrid fibre reinforced concrete – A state of the art review,” *Hybrid Advances*, 3, p. 100035, 2023. doi: [10.1016/j.hybadv.2023.100035](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hybadv.2023.100035).
- [7] Fode, T.A., Chande Jande, Y.A. and Kivevele, T. “Physical, mechanical, and durability properties of concrete containing different waste synthetic fibers for green environment – A critical review,” *Heliyon*, 10(12), p. e32950, 2024. doi: [10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e32950](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e32950).
- [8] Jamshaid, H., Mishra, R. K., Raza, A., Hussain, U., Rahman, Md. L., Nazari, S., Chandan, V., Muller, M., & Choteborsky, R. “Natural Cellulosic Fiber Reinforced Concrete: Influence of Fiber Type and Loading Percentage on Mechanical and Water Absorption Performance,” *Materials*, 15(3), p. 874, 2022. doi: [10.3390/ma15030874](https://doi.org/10.3390/ma15030874).
- [9] Zeybek, Ö., Özkılıç, Y.O., Çelik, A.İ., Deifalla, A.F., Ahmad, M. and Sabri Sabri, M.M. “Performance evaluation of fiber-reinforced concrete produced with steel fibers extracted from waste tire,” *Frontiers in Materials*, 9, 2022. doi: [10.3389/fmats.2022.1057128](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmats.2022.1057128).
- [10] Raza, S.S., Qureshi, L.A., Ali, B., Raza, A. and Khan, M.M. “Effect of different fibers (steel fibers, glass fibers, and carbon fibers) on mechanical properties of reactive powder concrete,” *Structural Concrete*, 22(1), pp. 334–346, 2021. doi: [10.1002/suco.201900439](https://doi.org/10.1002/suco.201900439).
- [11] Hamada, H.M., Shi, J., Al Jawahery, M.S., Majdi, A., Yousif, S.T. and Kaplan, G. “Application of natural fibres in cement concrete: A critical review,” *Materials Today Communications*, 35, p. 105833, 2023. doi: [10.1016/j.mtcomm.2023.105833](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mtcomm.2023.105833).
- [12] Zhu, L., Zheng, M., Zhang, S., Zhang, W., Chen, W. and Ou, Z. “Investigation of the Workability, Strength, and Durability of Fiber-Reinforced High-Performance Concrete with Full Aeolian Sand,” *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering*, 36(3), 2024. doi: [10.1061/JMCEE7.MTENG-16610](https://doi.org/10.1061/JMCEE7.MTENG-16610).
- [13] Bardouh, R., Homoro, O., Reboul, N., Saidi, M. and Amziane, S. “Enhancing bio-based concrete mechanical properties: a novel approach with composite sandwiches and confined cylinders,” *Materials and Structures*, 57(6), p. 139, 2024. doi: [10.1617/s11527-024-02400-3](https://doi.org/10.1617/s11527-024-02400-3).
- [14] Anas, M., Khan, M., Bilal, H., Jadoon, S. and Khan, M.N. “Fiber Reinforced Concrete: A Review,” *Engineering Proceedings*, 22(1), p. 3, 2022. doi: [10.3390/engproc2022022003](https://doi.org/10.3390/engproc2022022003).
- [15] Farhan, M., Saloma and Usman, A.P., Nurjannah, S.A. “Analysis of foamed concrete properties using artificial aggregates composed of fly ash and epoxy resin as partial sand replacement,” *Indian Journal of Engineering*, 23(59), pp. 1–14, 2026. doi: [10.54905/diss.v23i59.e4ije1710](https://doi.org/10.54905/diss.v23i59.e4ije1710).
- [16] Song, G.-L., Zhang, C., Chen, X. and Zheng, D. “Galvanic activity of carbon fiber reinforced polymers and electrochemical behavior of carbon fiber,” *Corrosion Communications*, 1, pp. 26–39, 2021. doi: [10.1016/j.corcom.2021.05.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.corcom.2021.05.003).
- [17] Yan, Li, Chu, Faliang, Tuo, Wanyong, Zhao, Xiaobo, Wang, Yan, Zhang, Pengqi, & Gao, Yibin. “Review of research on basalt fibers and basalt fiber-reinforced composites in China (I): Physicochemical and mechanical properties,” *Polymers and Polymer Composites*, 29(9), pp. 1612–1624, 2020. doi: [10.1177/0967391120977396](https://doi.org/10.1177/0967391120977396).
- [18] Huang, Y., Sultan, M.T., Shahar, F.S., Grzejda, R. and Łukaszewicz, A. “Hybrid Fiber-Reinforced Biocomposites for Marine Applications: A Review,” *Journal of Composites Science*, 8(10), p. 430, 2024. doi: [10.3390/jcs8100430](https://doi.org/10.3390/jcs8100430).
- [19] Akbar, A., Kodur, V.K.R. and Liew, K.M. “Microstructural changes and mechanical performance of cement composites reinforced with recycled carbon fibers,” *Cement and Concrete Composites*, 121, p. 104069, 2021. doi: [10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2021.104069](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2021.104069).
- [20] John, V.J. and Dharmar, B. “Influence of basalt fibers on the mechanical behavior of concrete—A review,” *Structural Concrete*,



- 22(1), pp. 491–502, 2021. doi: [10.1002/suco.201900086](https://doi.org/10.1002/suco.201900086).
- [21] Signorini, C. and Volpini, V. “Mechanical Performance of Fiber Reinforced Cement Composites Including Fully-Recycled Plastic Fibers,” *Fibers*, 9(3), p. 16, 2021. doi: [10.3390/fib9030016](https://doi.org/10.3390/fib9030016).
- [22] Nana, W. S. A., Tran, H. V., Goubin, T., Kubisztal, G., Bennani, A., Bui, T. T., Cardia, G., & Limam, A. “Behaviour of macro-synthetic fibers reinforced concrete: Experimental, numerical and design code investigations,” *Structures*, 32, pp. 1271–1286, 2021. doi: [10.1016/j.istruc.2021.03.080](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.istruc.2021.03.080).
- [23] Ahmad, J., Arbili, M.M., Majdi, A., Althoey, F., Deifalla, A.F. and Rahmawati, C. “Performance of concrete reinforced with jute fibers (natural fibers): A review,” *Journal of Engineered Fibers and Fabrics*, 17, p. 15589250221121872, 2022. doi: [10.1177/15589250221121871](https://doi.org/10.1177/15589250221121871).
- [24] Devi, Ch., Vijayan, D.S., Nagalingam, R. and Arvindan, S. “A review of the implementations of glass fiber in concrete technology,” *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 62, pp. 2010–2015, 2022. doi: [10.1016/j.matpr.2022.02.293](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2022.02.293).
- [25] Idagu, F.O., Onwuka, D.O., Okere, C.E. and Onwuka, U.S. “Comparison of split tensile strength of concrete using basalt and granite as coarse aggregates,” *Nigerian Journal of Technology*, 43(2), 2024. doi: [10.4314/njt.v43i2.7](https://doi.org/10.4314/njt.v43i2.7).
- [26] Vairagade, Vikrant S, Dhale, Shrikrishna A, Bhandari, Pranita, Shelare, Sagar, Sharma, Shubham, Sharma, Manish, Kulshreshta, Ankur, Kozak, Dražan, Abbas, Mohamed, & Lozanovic, Jasmina. “Experimental and numerical investigation of impact resistance in mixed steel fiber-reinforced concrete: Synergistic effects of short and long fibers with simulation validation,” *Journal of Engineered Fibers and Fabrics*, 20, p. 15589250251329432, 2025. doi: [10.1177/15589250251329432](https://doi.org/10.1177/15589250251329432).
- [27] Das, P.P., Chaudhary, V., Ahmad, F. and Manral, A. “Effect of nanotoxicity and enhancement in performance of polymer composites using nanofillers: A state-of-the-art review,” *Polymer Composites*, 42(5), pp. 2152–2170, 2021. doi: [10.1002/pc.25968](https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.25968).
- [28] Li, H., Zhao, Y., Zhao, Y., Zhang, M., Niu, Y. and Cao, X. “Advances in the Mechanism and Application of Nanoparticles in Concrete Property Modification,” *Inorganics*, 13(9), p. 305, 2025. doi: [10.3390/inorganics13090305](https://doi.org/10.3390/inorganics13090305).
- [29] Cao, Y., Wang, Q., Zhou, W., Chang, X., Wang, Y. and Gong, X. “Fabrication of hierarchical superhydrophobic structures by silane enriched with nanomaterials for enhancing permeability and freeze-thaw resistance,” *Construction and Building Materials*, 426, p. 136016, 2024. doi: [10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2024.136016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2024.136016).
- [30] Musa, A. A., Bello, A., Adams, S. M., Onwualu, A. P., Anye, V. C., Bello, K. A., & Obianyo, I. I. “Nano-Enhanced Polymer Composite Materials: A Review of Current Advancements and Challenges,” *Polymers*, 17(7), p. 893, 2025. doi: [10.3390/polym17070893](https://doi.org/10.3390/polym17070893).
- [31] Abna, A. and Mazloom, M. “Flexural properties of fiber reinforced concrete containing silica fume and nano-silica,” *Materials Letters*, 316, p. 132003, 2022. doi: [10.1016/j.matlet.2022.132003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matlet.2022.132003).
- [32] Sobhy, C.S., Tawfik, T.A., El Hafez, G.M.A. and Faried, A.S. “Insights on the influence of nano-Titanium dioxide and nano-Zinc oxide on mechanical properties and inhibiting of steel reinforcement,” *Case Studies in Construction Materials*, 16, p. e01017, 2022. doi: [10.1016/j.cscm.2022.e01017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cscm.2022.e01017).
- [33] Fu, Q., Zhou, Z., Wang, Z., Huang, J. and Niu, D. “Insight into dynamic compressive response of carbon nanotube/carbon fiber-reinforced concrete,” *Cement and Concrete Composites*, 129, p. 104471, 2022. doi: [10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2022.104471](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2022.104471).
- [34] Mehrabi, P., Shariati, M., Kabirifar, K., Jarrah, M., Rasekh, H., Trung, N. T., Shariati, A., & Jahandari, S. “Effect of pumice powder and nano-clay on the strength and permeability of fiber-reinforced pervious concrete incorporating recycled concrete aggregate,” *Construction and Building Materials*, 287, p. 122652, 2021. doi: [10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2021.122652](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2021.122652).
- [35] Jun, H.-M., Seo, D.-J., Lim, D.-Y., Park, J.-G. and Heo, G.-H. “Effect of Carbon and Steel Fibers on the Strength Properties and Electrical Conductivity of Fiber-Reinforced Cement Mortar,” *Applied Sciences*, 13(6), p. 3522, 2023. doi: [10.3390/app13063522](https://doi.org/10.3390/app13063522).
- [36] Jamaldar, A., Asadi, P., Salimi, M., Payan, M., Ranjbar, P. Z., Arabani, M., & Ahmadi, H. “Application of natural and synthetic fibers in bio-based earthen composites: A state-of-the-art review,” *Results in Engineering*, 25, p. 103732, 2025. doi: [10.1016/j.rineng.2024.103732](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rineng.2024.103732).



- [37] Demirdağ, C., Nodehi, M., Bideci, A., Bideci, Ö. S., Tuncer, M., Gencel, O., & Ozbakkaloglu, T. “The use of natural (coconut) and artificial (glass) fibers in cement – polymer composites: An experimental study,” *Construction and Building Materials*, 412, p. 134895, 2024. doi: [10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2024.134895](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2024.134895).
- [38] Akbulut, Z.F., Tawfik, T.A., Smarzewski, P. and Guler, S. “Advancing Hybrid Fiber-Reinforced Concrete: Performance, Crack Resistance Mechanism, and Future Innovations,” *Buildings*, 15(8), p. 1247, 2025. doi: [10.3390/buildings15081247](https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings15081247).
- [39] Pruthviraj, K.N., Jagalur Mahalingasharma, S. and Patil, S. “Experimental study on strength behaviour of concrete reinforced with natural fibers,” *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 80, pp. 659–667, 2023. doi: [10.1016/j.matpr.2022.11.065](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2022.11.065).
- [40] Alghairi, N., Aznieta, F.N., Ibrahim, A.M., Wan Hu, J., Mohammed Najm, H. and Anas, S.M. “Improvement of Concrete Characterization Using Nanomaterials: State-of-the-Art,” *Journal of Engineering*, 2025(1), p. 8027667, 2025. doi: [10.1155/je/8027667](https://doi.org/10.1155/je/8027667).
- [41] Haroglu, H., Bulut, M. and Tanyildizi, H. “The influence of carbon nanotube and nano silica on mechanical and microstructural properties of engineered cementitious composites subjected to elevated temperatures,” *Case Studies in Construction Materials*, 22, p. e04616, 2025. doi: [10.1016/j.cscm.2025.e04616](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cscm.2025.e04616).
- [42] Zhang, Z., Li, Z., He, J. and Shi, X. “High-strength engineered cementitious composites with nanosilica incorporated: Mechanical performance and autogenous self-healing behavior,” *Cement and Concrete Composites*, 135, p. 104837, 2023. doi: [10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2022.104837](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cemconcomp.2022.104837).
- [43] Olofintuyi, I.O., Arum, C., Akingbonmire, S.L. and Ojokoh, B.A. “Development and application of concrete sensor to measure onsite strength of concrete,” *Nigerian Journal of Technology*, 43(3), 2024. doi: [10.4314/njt.v43i3.5](https://doi.org/10.4314/njt.v43i3.5).
- [44] Song, F., Chen, Q. and Zheng, Q. “Multifunctional ultra-high performance fibre-reinforced concrete with integrated self-sensing and repair capabilities towards in-situ structure monitoring,” *Composite Structures*, 321, p. 117240, 2023. doi: [10.1016/j.compstruct.2023.117240](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2023.117240).
- [45] Bado, M.F. and Casas, J.R. “A Review of Recent Distributed Optical Fiber Sensors Applications for Civil Engineering Structural Health Monitoring,” *Sensors*, 21(5), p. 1818, 2021. doi: [10.3390/s21051818](https://doi.org/10.3390/s21051818).
- [46] Bin Rashid, A., Haque, M., Islam, S.M.M. and Uddin Labib, K.M.R. “Nanotechnology-enhanced fiber-reinforced polymer composites: Recent advancements on processing techniques and applications,” *Heliyon*, 10(2), 2024. doi: [10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e24692](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e24692).
- [47] Singh, A., Wang, Y., Zhou, Y., Sun, J., Xu, X., Li, Y., Liu, Z., Chen, J., & Wang, X. “Utilization of antimony tailings in fiber-reinforced 3D printed concrete: A sustainable approach for construction materials,” *Construction and Building Materials*, 408, p. 133689, 2023. doi: [10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2023.133689](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2023.133689).
- [48] Quadri, A.I., Rufai, M.K. and Ajayi, J.A. “Shear response of reinforced concrete deep beams utilizing waste fiber-reinforced expanded polystyrene concrete under static loading,” *Nigerian Journal of Technology*, 44(1), pp. 9–16, 2025. doi: [10.4314/njt.v44i1.2](https://doi.org/10.4314/njt.v44i1.2).
- [49] Rudziewicz, M., Maroszek, M., Setlak, K., Góra, M. and Hebda, M. “Optimization of Foams—Polypropylene Fiber-Reinforced Concrete Mixtures Dedicated for 3D Printing,” *Materials*, 17(16), p. 4106, 2024. doi: [10.3390/ma17164106](https://doi.org/10.3390/ma17164106).
- [50] Biskri, Y., Babouri, L., Benzerara, M., Anas, S. M., Dehas, O., Saidani, M., & Belouettar, R. “Mitigating high-temperature vulnerabilities in concrete: utilizing waste plastic fibers for enhanced mechanical resilience and environmental sustainability,” *Innovative Infrastructure Solutions*, 9(7), p. 252, 2024. doi: [10.1007/s41062-024-01571-w](https://doi.org/10.1007/s41062-024-01571-w).
- [51] Khaleel, Y.U., Qubad, S.D., Mohammed, A.S. and Faraj, R.H. “Reinventing concrete: a comprehensive review of mechanical strength with recycled plastic waste integration,” *Journal of Building Pathology and Rehabilitation*, 9(2), p. 111, 2024. doi: [10.1007/s41024-024-00465-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s41024-024-00465-9).
- [52] Mahboob, A., Hassanshahi, O., Safi, M. and Majid, T.A. “Experimental investigation of eco-friendly fiber-reinforced concrete using recycled and natural fibers, integrated with recycled aggregates,” *Advanced Composite Materials*, 33(6), pp. 1101–1130, 2024. doi: [10.1080/09243046.2024.2322799](https://doi.org/10.1080/09243046.2024.2322799).
- [53] Alberti, M.G., Enfedaque, A., V Faria, D.M. and Fernández Ruiz, M. “The Potential of Fiber-Reinforced Concrete to Reduce the



- Environmental Impact of Concrete Construction,” *Applied Sciences*, 14(15), p. 6629, 2024. doi: [10.3390/app14156629](https://doi.org/10.3390/app14156629).
- [54] Barbhuiya, S., Das, B.B., Adak, D., Kapoor, K. and Tabish, M. “Low carbon concrete: advancements, challenges and future directions in sustainable construction,” *Discover Concrete and Cement*, 1(1), p. 3, 2025. doi: [10.1007/s44416-025-00002-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s44416-025-00002-y).
- [55] Sovbetov, I. “Carbon Footprint Embodied in Global Fiber Trades,” *Journal of Natural Fibers*, 22(1), p. 2503970, 2025. doi: [10.1080/15440478.2025.2503970](https://doi.org/10.1080/15440478.2025.2503970).
- [56] Kumar, R., Shafiq, N., Kumar, A. and Jhatial, A.A. “Investigating embodied carbon, mechanical properties, and durability of high-performance concrete using ternary and quaternary blends of metakaolin, nano-silica, and fly ash,” *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 28(35), pp. 49074–49088, 2021. doi: [10.1007/s11356-021-13918-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-13918-2).
- [57] Ranjetha, K., Alengaram, U.J., Alnahhal, A.M., Karthick, S., Zurina, W.J.W. and Rao, K.J. “Towards sustainable construction through the application of low carbon footprint products,” *Materials Today: Proceedings*, 52, pp. 873–881, 2022. doi: [10.1016/j.matpr.2021.10.275](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2021.10.275).

