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ABSTRACT

In Nigeria today, polyethylene or water sachet is a major environmental pollutant, a non-biodegradable material. The usefulness of this pollutant (polyethylene) in the highway industry was investigated by studying its effects on some selected properties of Hot Mix Asphalt, such as, bulk density, stability and flow of the asphalt concrete mix. Specimen preparation was done using Marshall Mix design procedure. The optimum binder content was determined as 5.20% and three samples each for five variations of polyethylene content (2%, 4%, 6%, 8% and 10%) by weight of optimum binder content. It was observed from the study, that the stability and density of asphalt increased with polyethylene content, while a linear reduction in the flow and penetration values was observed with polyethylene content. The optimum modifier content value of 8% by weight of the optimum bitumen content. Models were also developed whose predictive values corroborated well with experimental values with acceptable coefficient of correlation values. Bitumen modified with polyethylene improved the engineering properties of asphalt which therefore means that usage of this waste product in the asphalt production for roadwork is an effective and economical way of managing this waste.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Asphalt is a composite material commonly used to surface roads, parking lots, airports, as well as the core of embankment dams. It is also known as blacktop or pavement in North America and tarmac or bitumen macadam in the United Kingdom and Ireland [1].

Asphalt consists basically of three elements; aggregates, binder and filler. The aggregates are bounded together with the binder which is called bitumen, which serves the same purpose as cement in concrete.

The quality of the bitumen, which is an essential component of asphalt, is very vital as it goes a long way to determine the strength and durability of the asphalt. Improvement of the quality of bitumen has been encouraged over the years keeping in mind the financial implications. The use of virgin polymers in bitumen to improve the characteristics of resulting polymer modified bitumen has been accomplished for many years. Recently, there is interest in the substitution of commercial virgin material with recycled polymers. Author of [2] pointed out that when recycled polymers are used as bitumen modifying agents, the resulting mixture may show similar performance to those containing virgin polymer.

Bitumen modification is not a new phenomenon. As early as 1923, natural and synthetic polymer modifications of bitumen have been patented [3, 4]. During the 1930’s, test projects were constructed in Europe [4]. In the mid, 1980s Australia started using polymers in bituminous mixtures, which is evident from the current national asphalt specifications. In [5] it was suggested that the use of rubberized bitumen in road construction in Malaysia. In [6], it was stated that bituminous materials obtained from different sources contain different proportions of different constituents and hence different properties. To judge their suitability as binders, tests such as
flash point, softening and penetration tests need to be carried out. Polyethylene has been found to be one of the most effective polymer additives. Polyethylene is the most popular plastic in the world [7]. Plastic is a versatile material. Due to the industrial revolution, and its large scale production, plastic seemed to be a cheaper and effective raw material. Today every vital sector of the economy starting from agriculture to packaging automobile, electronics, electrical, building construction sectors have been virtually revolutionized by the application of plastics. Several studies have proven the health hazard caused by improper disposal of plastic wastes such as reproductive; problems in humans and animals, genital abnormalities etc. [8]. Looking forward into the scenario of present life style, a complete ban on plastic usage cannot be considered but these plastic wastes can be reused. Thus, the purpose of this study is to investigate the possibility of using the waste polyethylene material (pure water sachet) as a bitumen modifier. The study will compare the physical and rheological properties of the modified asphalt concrete to conventional or unmodified asphalt.

2. MATERIAL AND METHOD

2.1 Materials
The bitumen used for this study was obtained from a material shop in Port Harcourt, Nigeria. The coarse aggregate used was crushed angular granite obtained from a construction site in Port Harcourt, Nigeria. The filler used for the experiment was that portion of fine aggregate finer than sieve 0.212mm sourced from the same location as the coarse aggregate. Light density polymer used for the experiment are waste sachet water bags sourced from the University of Port Harcourt, Environment.

2.2 Experimental Equipment
The equipment that were used for the purpose of this study are outlined below:

a. The Ring and Ball apparatus used for the softening point test.
b. The Standard Penetrometer apparatus used for the penetration test.
d. Other apparatus include, set of sieves, oven, hand brush, pan, scoop, sensitive weighing balance with an accuracy of 0.01g

3. METHODS

3.1 Experimental Mix Design
The sourced bitumen and aggregates were subjected to preliminary tests like the softening point test, penetration tests and gradation tests to ensure that these materials meets the a minimum specifications. The whole experimental set up was designed for the medium traffic roads with properties outlined in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mix criteria</th>
<th>Medium traffic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of blow on each face</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stability (minimum)</td>
<td>3336-7566N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flow</td>
<td>2 – 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage air voids</td>
<td>3 – 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The experimental methodology of the study involved laboratory tests (Marshall, stability and flow test, softening point test) on three (3) specimens per set per experiment. The bitumen at (4%, 4.5%, 5%, 5.5% and 6%) were combined with the graded aggregates and the optimum bitumen content was determined using Marshall test procedure to be 5.20%. This optimum binder content was systematically, and partially replaced with properly prepared polyethylene bags at 2%, 4%, 6%, 8% and 10% by weight of the optimum bitumen content. In summary, the experimental mix design entails all the ratio and proportioning of materials required for the optimum result of the study. This study encompasses, the aggregate blending and proportioning and optimum binder content determination.

3.1.1 Aggregates Proportion Blend
For optimal mix design, the aggregates A and B were properly combined to meet gradation specifications. The aggregates were combined adopting the straight line approach and the optimal combination was obtained after the third trial to be 60% A and 40% B. This is shown as represented in Table 2 and Figure 1.

3.1.2 Optimum Bitumen Content Determination
In determining the Optimum Bitumen Content (OBC), the Marshall mix design procedure as specified in [9] was employed with a series of five (5) test sample with three (3) samples per experiment for 4%, 4.5%,
5%, 5.5% and 6% bitumen content. The tests involved were, stability and flow test, bulk density test, determination of % air voids and determination of % voids in mineral aggregates. Each test sample weighed 1200g. The result of mix design used to determine OBC is presented in Figure 2 (a - e).

### Table 2: Optimum Trial Combination

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sieve size (mm)</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>4.75</th>
<th>2.36</th>
<th>0.6000</th>
<th>0.075</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A * 0.60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>58.20</td>
<td>40.20</td>
<td>10.20</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B * 0.40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>39.60</td>
<td>39.20</td>
<td>37.20</td>
<td>22.40</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>98.20</td>
<td>79.80</td>
<td>49.40</td>
<td>44.40</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specification</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>80-100</td>
<td>55-80</td>
<td>40-60</td>
<td>30-60</td>
<td>15-30</td>
<td>0-10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figure 1: Aggregate gradation curve*

*Figure 2a: Stability Values vs Percentage Bitumen Content*

*Figure 2b: Flow values vs percentage bitumen content*

*Figure 2c: Density Values Vs Percentage Bitumen Content*

*Figure 2d: Percent Air Void Vs Percent Bitumen Content*
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Figure 2e: VMA VS Percent Bitumen Content

The OBC was thus obtained as 5.20% from the plots in Figure 2 adopting Equation 1.

\[ \text{OBC} = \frac{A + B + C}{3} \]  

(1)

Where: A is the Bitumen content at maximum stability, B is the Bitumen content at maximum density and C is the Bitumen content at medium air voids.

3.2 Experimental Sample Preparation

Bitumen without debris and adulterants was collected and heated to a temperature of above 100°C to transform it to liquid. The polyethylene was weighed with respect to 0%, 2%, 4%, 6%, 8% and 10% weight of the bitumen optimum bitumen content.

The weight of the pure liquid bitumen was measured into a steel cylinder and heated till it fully liquefied and was in a state to dissolve the light density polyethylene. The L.D.P bags were separately heated to liquefy before it was weighed and blended into measured bitumen and after continuous stirring by steel spoon, it was thoroughly mixed with hot bitumen.

The aggregate blend, was also weighed and heated in order to remove moisture as well as making the sample a Hot Mix Asphalt Concrete.

Table 4 shows the mix ratio for modified asphalt concrete

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Results of Experimental Test

The results of the Marshall stability and flow tests, specific gravity tests, softening point tests and penetration tests are clearly illustrated by Tables and Figures in this section.

4.1.1 Marshal Stability and Flow Tests Result of Asphalt modified with LDP

Figure 3 shows the result of the Marshall stability and flow tests for the modified bitumen asphalt.

Table 4: Mix Ratio for Modified Asphalt Concrete

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Polyethylene Content (%)</th>
<th>Weight of Bitumen (g)</th>
<th>Weight of Polyethylene</th>
<th>Aggregate Blend (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>62.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>A (60%) = 682.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B (40%) = 455.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>61.15</td>
<td>1.248</td>
<td>A (60%) = 682.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B (40%) = 455.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>59.904</td>
<td>2.496</td>
<td>A (60%) = 682.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B (40%) = 455.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>58.655</td>
<td>3.744</td>
<td>A (60%) = 682.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B (40%) = 455.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>57.408</td>
<td>4.993</td>
<td>A (60%) = 682.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B (40%) = 455.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>56.16</td>
<td>6.24</td>
<td>A (60%) = 682.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>B (40%) = 455.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3a: Stability values of modified HMA

Figure 3b: Flow values of modified HMA
**4.1.2 Specific Gravity Tests Result of Asphalt modified with LDP**

Figure 4 shows the result of specific gravity tests for the modified sample of asphalt.

![Figure 4: Specific gravity values of modified HMA](image)

**4.1.3 Softening Point Tests Results of Asphalt modified with LDP**

The softening point test results for the modified asphalt are presented in Figure 5.

![Figure 5: Softening point values of modified HMA](image)

**4.1.4 Penetration Tests Results of Asphalt modified with LDP**

The penetration test result for the modified asphalt is presented in Figure 6.

![Figure 6: Penetration values of modified HMA](image)

**4.2 Curve Fitting the Properties of modified Asphalt**

A polynomial curve with equation of the form of Equation 2 was assumed for stability values while a linear curve with equation of the form of Equation 3 was assumed for specific gravity, flow, softening point and penetration values.

\[ y_1 = \alpha_2 x^2 + \alpha_1 x + \alpha_0 \]  
\[ y_2 = Z_2 x + Z_0 \]  

Where \( \alpha_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_0 \) = coefficient of polynomial Equation; \( y_1 \) = predicted stability values; \( Z_1, Z_0 \) = coefficient of linear Equation; \( y_2 \) = predicted asphalt property (flow, softening point and penetration values).

From Equation (2) and given n set of measurements, the least square estimates can be obtained. The sum of squared deviations of the experimental and predicted value is given as shown by Equation (4).

\[ S = \left( \sum (y_1 - \alpha_2 x^2 - \alpha_1 x - \alpha_0)^2 \right) \]  

Minimizing and setting the partial derivative of the above Equation with respect to \( \alpha_2, \alpha_1, \alpha_0 \) equal to zero, Equation (5) – (7) were developed.

\[ \sum y_1 = \alpha_2 \sum x^2 + \alpha_1 \sum x + \alpha_0 n \]  
\[ \sum xy_1 = \alpha_2 \sum x^3 + \alpha_1 \sum x^2 + \alpha_0 \sum x \]  
\[ \sum x^2 y_1 = \alpha_2 \sum x^4 + \alpha_1 \sum x^3 + \alpha_0 \sum x^2 \]  

Following the same algorithm, Equations (8) and (9) were developed from Equation (3)

\[ \sum y_2 = Z_2 \sum x + Z_0 n \]  
\[ \sum xy_2 = Z_2 \sum x^2 + Z_0 \sum x \]  

**4.2.1 Coefficient of Stability Curve for Modified Asphalt Concrete.**

In determination of model coefficient for stability model Table 8 was developed.

By substituting into Equations (5) - (7), Equations (10)- (12) were obtained

\[ 40890 = 220\alpha_2 + 300\alpha_1 + 5\alpha_0 \]  
\[ 245990 = 1800\alpha_2 + 220\alpha_1 + 30\alpha_0 \]  
\[ 1804180 = 15664\alpha_2 + 1800\alpha_1 + 220\alpha_0 \]  

Solving simultaneously gives;

\( \alpha_2 = -12.41; \alpha_1 = 165.18; \alpha_0 = 7733 \)

Thus the second degree polynomial stability model with a \( R^2 \) value of 88.6% was deduced as shown by Equation (13).

\[ y_1 = 7733 + 165.18x - 12.41x^2 \]
Table 8 Determining the Stability Model Coefficients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y₁</th>
<th>X²</th>
<th>X³</th>
<th>X⁴</th>
<th>XY₁</th>
<th>X²Y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8025</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16050</td>
<td>32100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8185</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>32740</td>
<td>130960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>8240</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>1296</td>
<td>49440</td>
<td>296640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8340</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>4096</td>
<td>66560</td>
<td>532480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>8120</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>81200</td>
<td>812000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ΣX = ΣY₁ = ΣX² = ΣX³ = ΣX⁴ = ΣXY₁ = ΣX²Y₁ =
30 40890 220 1800 15664 245990 245990

Table 10: Determining the Flow Model Coefficients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y₂</th>
<th>X²</th>
<th>XY₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ΣX = 30 ΣY₂ = 15.1 ΣX² = 220 ΣXY₂ = 89.6

4.2.2 Coefficient of Flow and other Properties and R² Value for Modified Asphalt

a. Flow Model
Adopting Equation (8) and (9), the model coefficients for the flow model was developed using Table 10. By substituting into Equations (8) and (9), Equations (14) and (15) were obtained;

$$15.1 = 30z_1 + 5z_0$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)
$$89.6 = 220z_1 + 30z_0$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

Solving simultaneously yields;

$$z_1 = 0.025; z_0 = 3.17$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

Thus; the linear flow curve equation with a R² value of 89.2% becomes

$$Y_2 = 3.17 - 0.025x$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

Stepping through the same algorithm as above; the softening point, and penetration curves with their R² value can be obtained.

b. Softening Point Curve
The model obtained for softening point of modified asphalt is expressed by Equation (17) with a corresponding R² value of 96.8%.

$$Y_2 = 52.4 + 1.1x$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

c. Penetration Curve
The model obtained for penetration of modified asphalt is expressed in Equation (18) with a R² value of 97.4%

$$Y_2 = 66 - 2.9x$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

4.3 Discussion of Result
The modified asphalt concrete as observed from Figure 3a were higher than the conventional asphalt concrete mixtures. The increase in stability value can be attributed to increase in cohesion and adhesion properties caused by modifier introduction thereby causing internal friction. This improvement would enhance and improve fatigue resistance, thermal stress cracking and reduce temperature susceptibility and rutting occurrences as observed by [10]. Although, above 8% modifier addition, the reverse becomes the case. The flow values as observed from Figure 3b decreased with LDP content. This may be inferred from the reduction in viscosity of binder with increase in modifier content.

The specific gravity values were noticed to increase with LDP content (Figure 4). The increase was drastic on introduction of LDP (0-2%) after which, the increase became steady. The increase may be attributed to the difference in densities between the two substances.

The softening point values were noticed to increase with increase in addition of modifier. This may be attributed to increase in adhesive properties of modified bitumen as LDP content in creased. This is as depicted in Figure 5.

The penetration values of modified asphalt decreased with LDP content as depicted in Figure 6.
The models developed for the different properties of modified asphalt concrete collaborated well enough with the experimental values as was depicted and validated by the coefficient of determination values. The stability model is a polynomial model with $R^2$ value of 88.69%. The other models are linear models $R^2$ value of 89.2%, 96.8% and 97.4% for the flow, softening point and penetration model respectively.

5. CONCLUSION
From the results and discussions of the study, the following conclusions are hereby made;
1. The stability of modified asphalt using L.D.P as bitumen modifier increased with LD content up to 8% after which, a decline was observed.
2. The softening point of modified asphalt increases with increased L.D.P content.
3. The flow and penetration values of modified asphalt decreases with increased L.D.P content.
4. The optimum replacement value of bitumen with L.D.P was found to be 8%. Because the study is for medium traffic roads, further studies should be done considering heavy traffic roads.
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